Of

EXHIBITS

				For In
Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	Ident.Evidence
3150-264		3790	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37736
3150-264B		3790-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 264 (pp. 2044-5)	37736
3150-278		3791	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37742
3150-278A		3791-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 278 (pp. 2148-9)	37743
3150-281		3792	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37746
3150-2814		3792-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 281 (14 July 1938)	37746
		I	MORNING RECESS	37752
3150-283		3793	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37754
3150-2834	4	3793-A	Chapter 283 (28 July 1938)	37754
3150-280		3794	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37760
3150-280	В	3794-4	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 280 (p.216)	37760

of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	For Ident	In Evidence
3150-313		3795	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37766	
3150-313A	1	3795-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 313 (7 February 1939)		37766
3150-313E	1	3795-B	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 313 (7 February 1939)		37767
3150-314		3796	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37770	
3150-3144		3796-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 314 (13 February 1939)		37770
3150-317		3797	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37772	
315 0- 317A		3797-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 317 (10 March 1939)		37772
			NOON RECESS		37776
3150-321		3798	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37778	
3150-321	1	3798-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 321 (18 April 1939)		37778
Catholic Committee					

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

				77	In
roc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.Ev	idence
3150-321A		3798-B	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 321 (18 April 1938)		37781
3150-322		3799	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37789	
3150-322A		3799-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 322 (pp. 2499-2501)		37789
3150-322B		3799-B	Excerpt from the SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs - Chapter 322 (p.3504)		37804
		AF	TERNOON RECESS		37807
3150-323		3800	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37808	
3150-3234	1	3800-	Chapter 323 (5 May 1939)	1	37808
3150-324		3801	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37811	
3150-324	A	3801-	A Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 324 (pp. 2514-5)		37811
3150-324	ic	3801-	B Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 324 (p. 2517)		37814

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.Ev	idence
315r-324B		3801-C	Excerpt from the SAIONJI-HARADA Mem Chapter 324 (9 May	oirs 1939)	37822
3150-325		3802	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37822	
315 0- 325A		3802-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 325 (16 May 1939)		37822
3150-326		3803	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37822	
3150-3261	В	3803-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 325 (23 May 1939)		37823
3150-326	Α.	3803-B	Excerpt from the SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs - Chapter 326 (23 May 1939)		37828
3150-332		3894	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37830	
3150-332	ZA.	3804-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 332 (11 July 1939)		37832
					THE RESERVE TO SHARE SHA

Tuesday, 20 January 1948

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
FOR THE FAR EAST
Court House of the Tribunal
War Ministry Building
Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930.
Appearances:

For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE JU-AO MEI, Member from the Republic of China, not sitting from 0930 to 1600.

> For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.) Lefler & Yelden

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All of the accused are present except SHIRATORI who is represented by counsel. The prison surgeon at Sugamo certified that he is ill and unable to attend the trial today. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

Captain Kraft.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Captain Kraft): If the Tribunal please, the following language correction is submitted: Exhibit No. 3775-A, last line, delete, "There will be a crisis," and substitute, "It cannot be said that this is not a crisis."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, I will now read exhibit 3789-A:

":4-17 January 1938

"When I called on the Foreign Minister on the 14th, he said that in short, at the Council held in the presence of His Majesty, the Premier was charged with expediting the proceedings whereas he (the Foreign Minister) was called on to do the explaining; that after he first described the progress of our foreign policy as well as our future peace and war policies at the Council, His Highness, Prince KANIN, the Chief of the

Army General Staff, and His Highness, Prince FUSHIMI, the 1 Chief of the Naval General Staff, followed by giving their approval to the proposals that were decided by the government; that the President of the Privy Council, HITANUMA, expressed himself to the effect that he also approved; that at any rate, all decisions passed without objections were reported to His Majesty; and that after the closing address was made to the Emperor, the Emperor left the hall.

"I then met the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal and discussed various matters which had come up subsequently. The Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal also talked about the details of the Council which was held in the presence of His Imperial Majesty."

This copy, sirs, should be the 5th, not the 15th. (Reading continued)

"On the 5th, at the General Staff Headquarters, China was requested, through the Foreign Minister, to give an answer by the 15th as to whether or not she accepted or disapproved of the terms of peace proposed by Japan. This being the day the answer was to arrive, it was the fervent desire of the General Staff Headquarters to settle the matter on this occasion though it may be distasteful by waiting five additional days even in the event the answer was rejected. A liaison

21 22

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

conference was convened at the official residence of the 1 Premier and from the morning of the 15th, the attendance of both His Imperial Highness, the Chief of the Army General Staff, and His Imperial Highness, the Chief of the Naval General Staff, was requested. The conference lasted from 9:30 a.m. until 8:30 p.m. of the same day. "According to the details of the conference which I received from Premier KONOYI, the Army General Staff Headquarters was out and out in favor of ending the hostilities with China even if only a day sooner and of making preparations against the Soviet, and this was its 10 greatest desire and concern. As for the Debuty Chief of . 11 the General Staff, he stated: 'Fven if it is said to be 12 a council held in the presence of the Imperor, the Imperor 13 does not make any comments. Since this is very similar to 16 the Emperor Organ Theory, this time I should like to 17 have matters submitted to His Imperial Majesty's judgment before a decision is made. So saying, he /Deputy Chief of the General Staff/ reversed from the very basis, everything that had been settled up until now. Moreover, 20 it seemed that it was his desire to deal with Chiang Kai-shek in person and come to a peaceful settlement. The reply already received from the Chief of China's 23 Foreign Affairs Bureau through the German Ambassador was 24 to the effect that the terms submitted through the 25

German Ambassador by Japan were too abstract in content and therefore difficult to comprehend, and requested that a more concrete proposal be presented.

"The Foreign Minister said, 'The Army General" Staff Headquarters has already informed China through the German Military Attache. At any rate '/China/, is generally well aware of our concrete proposals. In spite of this, however, she feigns ignorance and is saying, "that cannot be understood." If this is the case, there is no hope. Therefore, as has been determined at the Council held before the Emperor, there is no alternative but to proceed with our alternate plan. This is to transfer the present hostilities into a long-termn warfare. It is vital that we strengthen our determination to oppose China stubbornly.' The foregoing was the contention of the Foreign Minister. The Premier and his Cabinet members were of the opinion that 'it is not good to be led on and on. In the end, the same arguments were being repeated but Navy Minister YONAI spoke up and said, 'Does that mear that the Army General Staff Headquarters does not have confidence in the Government? If so, it means that either the Army General Staff Headquarters or the Cabinet must resign en masse as a result of opposition, between the two. However . . . There was a recess about 7:00 o'clock. The

3

6

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

24

Army General Staff retired to the Coneral Staff
Headquarters and, after a consultation there, returned
again to the official residence of the Premier at
8:00 o'clock. The Deputy Chief of the General Staff
remarked, 'To have a change in the government at the
present time is not wise. The General Staff Headquarters has confidence in the Government and will
concur with the decision it makes.' Therefore, soon
after 8 o'clock, the debate was settled. The Cabinet
meeting was again convened and at 8:30 p.m., after
the meeting had ended, the Premier called at the Palace
and withdrew from the presence of the Emperer after
making a complete report of the proceedings to the
Emperor. . .

"Both Prince KONOYE and KIDO were saying,

'The Army General Staff is justified in their ardent
desire to have out and out peace. However, after
causing matters to develop this far, to stop midway
and be led by them and say "how would it be to conclude
peace under these terms," by taking an attitude very
similar to that of a defeated nation and purposely
showing our magnarinity is not an attitude to be
taken by a country which has been winning consecutive
victories. Should such an action be taken, it would,
after all, be said, "Japan has weakened considerably

and is she not already in a precarious situation."

In this way, her cards would be revealed. Because of this, externally, it would mean a sudden fall of the yen exchange and depreciation of government securities and we would not be able to carry on commerce and would make the buying of commodities impossible. What are we to do should there be a panic? Nevertheless, if we are going to settle matters, it should be settled logically. This is a difficult situation.'

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Moreover, according to KIDO's conversation on or about the evening of the 12th, 'Two or three days ago, since Prince CHICHIBU said, "come over by all means," I called on him at his palace. Prince CHICHIBU, with opinions which made it appear as though he was representing the Army General Staff, questioned me in the following manner, "On what grounds is the Government refraining from concluding the present hostilities even a day sooner? How much longer do they think Japan's financial strength will last?" To the above question, I explained the circumstances at length. I discussed various situations with His Highness from 8:30 till after 10:00 o'clock. In the course of the conversation, Prince CHICHIBU remarked, "The present hostilities differ from that of the Sino-Japanese and the Russo-Japanese War in

that we are not waging war against the people but against the Chiang Kai-shek regime. Therefore, could it not be done by eliminating all friction to date and clasping hands from a broad standpoint?" To this I replied, "Even if the present situation differs from the Sino-Japanese and the Russo-Japanese war, after Japanese troops have killed 700,000 to 800,000 soldiers of the Chinese Army, even if we look grave and say, 'Let us shake hands,' it cannot be clasped sincerely. Furthermore, is Japan a defeated nation or a victorious nation. For a victorious nation to say, 'We, by all means, would like to make peace as soon as possible,' and submit various terms and lay our cards on the table would, on the contrary, be used as propaganda if our opponent should refuse to respond to this. If they say, 'Japan's internal condition is in such a difficult gituation. With these terms, Japan has expressed hopes for peace, and spread such propaganda at home and abroad, it would result in Japan being put at a great disadvantage. For example, this would cause a so-called slump in the yen exchange and government securities as well as a loss of confidence in /Japan's/ economy and finance. If we are to pursue this course further, it would also result in the nation not being able to purchase any commodities and prices of goods

will soar and there would be fear that internal administration would be thrown into confusion. Diplomacy is, of course, necessary to establish peace, but unless the settlement is made very firm, and if things are immediately handled in the manner the General Staff Headquarters desires, I shall have no end of worry for the nation." To this, His Highness said, "what you say is true. Nevertheless, I think the government is incompetent." With this, we parted.

"I left for Okitsu on the evening of the 17th and when I spoke to the Foreign Minister by phone on the morning of the 18th, he said, 'As the Chinese Government failed to accept the proposal, the Council held in the presence of the Emperor has decided on the alternative plan. . ."

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, may all of page 3 be referred to the Language Section?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, may I again say that referring whole pieces to the Language Section, Language Arbitration Board, as I inderstand, puts upon them an impossible task. It is for those who desire to make a correction to specify what the correction is that they wish to make.

MR. LOGAN: It is my recollection, if the Tribunal please, that at one time we were directed not to submit our changes, just to refer the particular item to the Language Board and have them change it. We were instructed not to submit our interpretation of the document.

THE PRESIDENT: You would not reveal your view to the Language Section if you simply referred to words or sentences.

MR. LOGAN: But this entire Memoir, if the Tribunal please, is translated so wrong it is almost a physical impossibility to point out any one particular sentence because it has to be referred to by pages and paragraphs. The entire sense of the entire document is changed.

THE PRESIDENT: We may refer this whole page just to see what happens and be guided by the result

8 9

in the future. In that way we would not waste much of the Language Board's time.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I would also make another suggestion: that when changes are made in these Memoirs, that new sheets be substituted in the transcript instead of having the changes tucked away and disconnected from the original document as read, because in our summation there will have to be two references, one to the original transcript where it appears and one to the subsequent correction, and it makes it almost impossible to try to jibe the two together.

THE PRESIDENT: We will consider that, but it may prevent the transcript from being a true record of the proceedings.

MR. LOGAN: I am speaking from experience with KIDO's Diary, and I must say it was very trouble-some and entailed a great deal of work doing it the other way.

THE PRESIDENT: KIDO's Diary was not well translated in the first instance, so we are told. We only know what we are told in these matters, but we are told that this diary was most carefully translated.

MR. COMYNS CARR: And these excerpts have been, all of them, carefully checked a second time and

3 4

I am unaware of any such instruction ever having been issued as stated by my friend, Mr. Logan. On the contrary, the rule has always been that the objector must bring in his specific objections. With regard to the corrections not appearing in the original exhibit, I have every exhibit corrected on the original exhibit copy itself as soon as the corrections appear, and I trast that everybody else is doing the same.

MR. FURNESS: If your Honor please, in regard to the translation, it is our understanding that even in the original Japanese, this Memoir, even with its many revisions, is almost impossible to understand.

I am told by my Japanese colleagues that it should, in fact, be translated into Japanese before any attempt is made to translate it into English. I am told that if it comes out clearly in the translation, it cannot be an accurate translation because then it is the translator testifying and not Baron HARADA.

THE PRESIDENT: The existence of our Language Board makes all these allegations unnecessary. If there is anything wrong in the opinion of the defense with any particular statement in any particular document, we will refer it to the Language Section. These general statements are wasting time and getting us nowhere.

2.5

The correction made this morning by Captain

Kraft is an apportant one, in my judgment; but it does

not help the defense, strangely enough, unless I misinterpret the document. If a word or a sentence is
challenged by any defense counsel, we will refer it;
but we do object to referring whole pages or whole
documents.

MR. FURNESS: I make the statement merely to explain why we make the request to refer entire pages, because of the great difficulty of the translation.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, with relation to referring these matters to our Arbitration Section,
Mr. Carr just made the remark that the ordinary thing to do was to give our suggestions to the Board. However, I recall distinctly doing that in the courtroom one time and incurring your Honor's displeasure, and you spoke to me about it very strongly when he--

THE PRESIDENT: I recall interfering on one occasion where I think a defense counsel told the translator what the language should be.

MR. WARREN: That was me, your Honor. I was doing exactly as he says the custom should be and I insist it is not correct. I don't know what to do now.

THE PRESIDENT: We have heard enough, Mr. Comyns Carr. We refer the whole of page three. We will see

what happens.

MR. McMANUS: Your Honor, in so far as your Honor has referred to the correction made by Captain Kraft this morning, may I just ask your Honor, or at least point out to the Court that the sentence in Japanese concerning this particular sentence of exhibit 3775-A contains a double negative.

THE PRESIDENT: It is a common form of expression, grammatically correct. We do not misunderstand it.

MR. McMANUS: But because of this, your Honor, I understand it is very difficult to translate so, consequently, I ask the Court, just for this one point, to have it resubmitted to determine whether this is in the present tense or the future tense. In view of the few sentences before it, as it reads as corrected by the Language Section, your Honor can readily see that it does not make sense.

THE PRESIDENT: If Captain Kraft cares to reply to you, Mr. McManus, he is at liberty to do so.

MR. McMANUS: Your Honor, all I request is that it be resubmitted to determine whether it be in the present or future tense.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Kraft.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Captain Kraft): Sir, in

regard to the tense of this expression, we discussed the matter quite at length and found in a previous sentence the time referred to was today, and for that reason we translated it to be in the present tense. In the sentence itself it is difficult to determine what is meant. You have to take it from the context, the entire context.

THE PRESIDENT: That is a feature of the Japanese language, I understand. It is not something new.

Morse & Whalen

MR. McMANUS: Your Honor, the crux of the entire discussion was whether or not there would be a crisis in 1935 or 1936 which was sometime in the future.

THE PRESIDENT: Put it in your summetion.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I now offer in evidence IPS document 3150-263A, also of 14 January 1938, relating to KIDO's attitude with regard to the Imperial University, which was put to him at pages 31,508 to 9.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Legen.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, the second sentence of this document, commencing "IDA Bannon" and ending "awkward rosition," is nothing but an epinion and conclusion on the part of HARADA and whoever edited the document and should be disallowed as was Grew's opinions in his diary.

"For my part" and ending with the word "the Cabinet" with a question mark after it, two sentences there should also be disregarded and not permitted to be read as it is also an opinion and conclusion on the part of the witness HARADA. The accused KIDO was confronted with this document and at first it was presented to him as having been stated on the 14th of January, 1938. Subsequently the prosecutor said that that might have been February sometime. This chapter 263 from SCAP's

translation is dated February 1, 1938. The accused KIDO testified that the --

. 6

THE PRESIDENT: None of us can see what bearing this has on any issue, what importance it has. Not what you are saying but what is in the document.

MR. LOCAN: I don't see what bearing it has either, if the Tribunal please, in view of a subsequent document that they intend to offer showing that KIDO was apposing the Rightists.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. COMYNS CARR: In view of the lest decision of the Tribunel I will not offer the next document.

I now offer in evidence IPS document No. 3150-264A, relating to 26 January 1938, a statement by KIDO to HARADA showing the interest he was taking in measures relating to the general preparation for war unconnected with his own department which was put to him at page 31,510.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: With respect to this, if the Tribunal please, this choice little sentence taken out of its context might tend to indicate, as the prosecutor pointed out, that this was his personal opinion and demonstrates what he was trying to do.

if the document is a whole is read it will be seen that there was a discussion between HARADA and KONOYE at one time, KIDO at another time, and several other people, and the subject matter --

THE PRESIDENT: You need not go any further

By a majority the objection is upheld and

the document rejected.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I now offer in evidence IPS document 3150-264B, of the 14th of February 1938, being the witness HORINOUCHI's account of a Licison Conference of that date and an interview between the Chiefs of Staff and the Emperor which was denied by him at pages 29,870-71. Another document relating to the same matter to substantially the same effect was admitted by the Tribunal on Friday last and is exhibit 3272 but I desire to submit this document to impeach the credit of the witness HORINOUCHI who denied that he ever said what he is stated here to have said.

THE PRESIDENT: No objection? Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-264 will receive exhibit No. 3790 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 3150-264B will receive exhibit No. 3790-A.

(Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked prosecution exhibit
No. 3790 for identification, the excerpt
therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit
No. 3790-A and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: (Reading) "14 February 1938 "I saw the Vice Foreign Minister at the Foreign Ministry and he inquired: 'Have you heard about the Lisison Conference of February 14th?' Therefore, I (HARADA) replied: 'What about it?' To this, the Vice Minister stated: 'At the Liaison Conference of February 14, the Army said: "It is impossible to ottack Canton and Hank, win the future. We shall go as far es the southern part of Shansi and up to the Yellow River but we think it is impossible to advance as far as Suchow." The Navy is saying that it would like to advance its air base as far as Anking, but the Army is saying that it is impossible to go that far. The reason why they say it is impossible to carry out military operations as far as Anking lies in the fact that military preparations must be made against Russia. As a result the Navy is saying: "It is not wise to continue as we are and extending the incident into one of long term hostilities. On one hand, cessation of war should be attempted through diplomatic manoauvres and at the same time, our forces must advance without

2

19

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23 24

yielding an inch." The foregoing is the Nevy's stand and they say: "Can Canton be attacked? "hat about Hankow, Suchow and Inking?" Even in that event, nothing can be done. The reason is due to military preparations against Russia. Therefore, the Navy requested the Chief of the Navel General Staff to inquire of the Chief of the Army General Staff: "The Army repeatedly speaks of the Soviet relationship, but are we not intending to (against Russia) force it from our side?" Prince KAN-IN denied the foregoing saying: "There is no such thing."

"'His Majest, the Emperor, then asked the Minister of War /"Is it possible to nut into effect a simultaneous plan for long term hostilities, military preparations against Russia and the expansion of the Navy/?" The Army Minister replied: "I shall discuss the matter with the Government and take the proper action.""

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: After reeding the document I think it is proper to inquire whether the entire language of the Emperor in the second paragraph is conjectural, as is is issected by the diagonal marks,

MR. COMYNS CARR: The answer is again, I regret to say, that according to my information those

24

1

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

diagonal marks should not be there. There seem to be a number of obvious errors with regard to these diagonal marks.

I offer in evidence IPS document 3150-277A relating to 29 May 1938 and to a conversation between Baron HARADA and Foreign Minister UGAKI in which UGAKI spoke of attempts to influence him to appoint SHIRATORI as Vice Foreign Minister.

This document is offered to contradict witness UGAKI's statement on cross-examination at page
34,915 that no one other than Prince KONOYE had
approached him regarding the appointment of SHIRATORI.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, we object to the introduction of this document on the ground that it is an attempt to impeach the evidence of Baron -- General UGAKI who appeared as a witness for the prosecution. The presecutor has called attention to his testimony when he was appearing for the defense but failed to call attention to the fact that he testified very early in the case for the presecution. Furthermore, we cannot see how this document proves or dispreves anything of any importance.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Henor, in my submission the fact, if it be a fact, as to which I am not sure, that UGAKI was at some time called as a witness for the prosecution doesn't interfere with cur right either to impeach or to correct his testimony when he is called as a witness for the defense.

Mh. FURNESS: I can assure the Tribunal that I am not misleading them in stating that he did appear as a witness for the prosecution.

I also believe that it is not good law to state that they can impeach him because we call him as a witness on any testimony he gives before this Tribunal.

MR. COMYNS Cakk: Your Henor -- .

THE PRESIDENT: Do you wish to say anything,

Mr. Carr?

20

21

23

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, with regard to the question of the importance of the matter --THE PRESIDENT: That is the only question we want you to address us on.

MR. COMYNS CARK: The next document I am going to offer is perhaps a better one from that point of view on the same question because it shows his codefendent IT.G.KI as pressing for his appointment, and that was put to and specifically denied by UGAKI. I 13 think perhaps I will withdraw this one and raise the 14 point on the next one.

I offer in evidence IPS document 3150-2784 16 relating to 16 June 1938 and to Prince KONOYE's conversation with Baron HakaDa in which he told of the insistence of War Minister ITAGAKI in recommending to Foreign Minister UGAKI that SHILATOKI be appointed Vice Foreign Minister.

This is offered to contradict the statement of witness UGAKI on cross-exemination at page 34,915 that no one other than Prince KONOYE had approached him regarding the appointment of SHIKATORI, and further to contradict witness UGAKI's specific denial at page

34,916 that War Minister ITAGAKI was persistent in pressing for the appointment of SHIM.TORI as Vice Foreign Minister. 3 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Caudle. MR. CAUDLE: I would like to object to this 4 because Mr. UGAKI was formerly a prosecution witness too, and they are trying to impeach him here new. THE PRESIDENT: Do you want to be heard, Mr. 8 Carr? Mr. COMYNS CARR: Your Hoper, this isn't 10 merely a metter of impeaching, it is correcting his 11 evidence on a matter which we submit is of importance in itself as showing the connection and mutual support 13 between these two persons both of whom we allege to 15 be conspirators. Mk. C. UDLE: If it please the Tribunal, I 16 17

cannot see the difference between correcting and impeaching.

18

19

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: You don't need to reply. We thoroughly understand your objection. Now we are reading the document with a view to seeing whether it is sound.

By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-278 will receive exhibit No. 3791 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom, being presecution document 3150-2784, will receive exhibit No. 3791-4.

(Whereupen, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3791 for identification, the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit No. 3791-4 and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: (Reading) "16 June 1938.

"That afternoon I (HARADA) met KONOYE at the Premier's official residence. He said: 'This noon Foreign Minister UGAKI, Finance Minister IKEDA and I held a so-called Three Ministers' Meeting while we had lunch. War Minister ITAGAKI persisted in recommending SHIRATORI as Vice Minister of Foreign Affairs to UGAKI. When ITAGAKI was at the front and SHIRATORI was touring through North and Central China, they talked for about four hours on verious matters. It is said their cpinions on foreign relations coincided very well. The ones who are still the greatest nuisance to UGAKI at present are the field grade officers of the Army. If UGAKI wants to carry out some foreign policy matter, he will have to hold them down and yet let it appear that he is ecoperating with them. Otherwise, he wen't be able to accomplish anything. SHIRATORI is not considered highly by his seniors in the Fereign Ministry,

18

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

22 23

24

and his reputation outside of the Ministry is somewhat bad. However, if UGAKI were to use him, he would probably handle him appropriately. I think that using SHIRATORI would be very good politically. However, the Navy is wholly opposed to him. Vice Minister YAMAMOTO especially says that in view of SHIRATORI's personal conduct: 'We cannot keep such a man for official disciplinary reasons.' However, can't something be done on this occasion without saying such straitlaced things? I will not assume any responsibility in forcibly recommending SHIRATORI. However, in reality, I still think that SHIRATORI would probably be the best suited person. UGAKI told me today: 'I have heard that SHIRATOKI is to some extent very "loose" with his finances. If he's acceptable to the Army, he isn't to the Navy. This is really an awkward situation. ""

Next I offer in evidence IPS document 3150280A relating to a conversation between Premier KONOYE
and HARADA on 30 June 1938. The accused OKA on crossexamination at pages 33,464 and 33,464 of the transcript
admitted that he attended weekly meetings with a certain
KISHI and KAGESA but specifically denied any knowledge
that KISHI called these gatherings the meeting of the
Three Ministers and that KISHI stated that he would
bring over a certain Kao Tsung-wu from China and confine

*

20

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

him in the Army Club. OKA on direct examination at page 33,423 denied that he ever participated in groups or parties. The prosecution offers this document in evidence in contradiction of the above testimony of OKA.

Mr. ONO: My name is ONO. I am counsel for the accused OKA. Now, I object to the introduction of this document on the ground that it has no probative value and importance; it contains nothing but hearsay and opinion. Commenting on that, if it shows anything it shows no conspiracy. This document does not impeach OKA at all.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

& S

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COMYNS CARR: I offer in evidence IPS document 3150-281A, in contradiction of ITAGAKI's testimony, page 30,423, line 1, to page 30,425, line 6, in which he denied that it was the policy that Japan should concentrate its total national power for the destruction or the surrender of the Chinese Government and in which he denied that it was the Japanese view to bring about Chiang Kai-shek's fall.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: On behalf of the accused ITAGAKI, the introduction of this document is objected to for the reason that it is of practically no probative value. It is based on hearsay and opinion of the writer of this so-called memoir.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the

usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-281 will receive exhibit No. 3792 for identification only. The excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document No. 3150-281A, will receive exhibit No. 3792A.

(Whereupon, prosecution document No. 3150-281 was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3792 for identification; and the excerpt therefrom, bearing document No. 3150-281A, was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3792A and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: (Reading)

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

"Then on the morning of the 5th " -- that is, as the Tribunal will see from the heading, July 1938 -- "'I called at the residence of the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal and chatted with him for about an hour. The Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal stated: "Yesterday, the Emperor summoned the War Minister, and then the Chief of the General Staff and stated: 'I think this war should be ended as soon as possible. What do you think?! The War Minister and the Chief of the General Staff both replied simply: 'We will continue until Chiang Kaishek fails.' The Emperor was quite concerned about this matter because the Chief and the Vice-Chief of the General Staff had previously told the Emperor that the Chief of the General Staff and the General Staff Headquarters had feverishly 'wanted to stop this war. And in short, make preparations against Soviet Russia.' Since then, nothing has happened. Therefore, the Emperor thinking that the Chief of the 23 General Staff at least had in mind to settle the 24 25 incident as soon as possible, put the question with

that belief, to the C.ief of the General Staff and to the War Minister."

Vice Minister of the Navy, I went to the official residence of the Naval Minister, and met the Vice-Minister of the Navy. Vice-Minister YAMAMOTO said:
"It seemed as if Aide-de-Camp HIRATA was here just now, but I did not neet him. Probably he came on some such matter. However, though the War Minister said: 'We will continue until Chiang Kai-shek fails' so-called 'We will fight it out,' in reality it is the army which is most troubled. Consequently, it is also the army that desires most earnestly to quit. Therefore, there is no doubt about that point."!"

I now offer in evidence IPS document
3150-283A. ITAGAKI testified that he did not know
that the Kwantung Army had made an estimate of the
situation at Changkufeng to the effect that the
Soviet forces must be compelled to withdraw from
Changkufeng and that the use of force would be
necessary. In this connection he also denied that he
and the Chief of the General Staff sought an Imperial
audience. On 21 July 1938 for the purpose of obtaining Imperial sanction for the use of force at

```
Changkufeng, he also denied that the Emperor had
  reprimanded him, and that in consequence of such
  reprimand he expressed his desire to resign as War
   Minister. This testimony appears at page 30,471,
   line 17, to page 30,472, line 2; page 30,472, lines
   11 to 17; and page 30,476, line 9, to page 30,477,
   line 15.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
 20
 21
 22
```

. 5

on behalf of the accused ITAGAKI, for the reason that it has no probative value. We think it ought not to be admitted, because it obviously is rank hearsay. It will be noted that the entire document consists of quotations. It is in evidence before this Tribunal that no reporter, secretary or any person present took any notes or took down what occurred.

THE PRESIDENT: We keep in mind, Mr. Mattice, how these memoirs came to be written and under what circumstances and who made contributions. There is no need to repeat that. Those are all matters of weight which we must entertain.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, with respect to that paragraph on page 2 which purports to quote from page 2191, those four lines there with reference to KIDO: Those four lines standing alone are unintelligible and have no probative value. But if that is admitted, I would ask for permission to read five lines on the next page of this SCAP translation which definitely shows that KIDO was opposed to the army having any fight with Russia.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, we object that the date of this document is not clear. I know from reacing the diary that 28 July is not the date on

Which Baron HARADA stated this conference with MATSUDAIRA. I think Mr. Carr intended to mention that; I wish to say that in justice to him. I do, however, wish to object to the excerpt from page 2194, which is apparently not mentioned in Mr. Carr's introductory remarks. It is a quotation from a letter which Baron HARADA apparently received, does not disclose what knowledge the writer of the letter had, and consists solely of opinions and conclusions by the writer with-

out any basis for such opinions and conclusions.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, with regard to my friend's point about the date, the date at the head is the date of the chapter, and not of the event. In most cases we have put in the left-hand top corner what we gather either from the document itself or the context to be the date of the event, but in some of them it was omitted. I agree with my friend, Mr. Furness, that the date of this conversation with MATSUDAIRA was the 21st, and not the 28th of July.

MR. FURNESS: I do not want to agree to the 21st. I know it was not the 28th, and it was sometime after the 21st. I do not know just when; the 21st or after -- the 21st or subsequent to that date.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain TAKAGI's letter is not proof of the contents unless the absence of the

letter is accounted for. That is understood in these matters.

MR. COMYNS CARR: This particular headphone is working so badly this morning that I did not hear what your Honor said. I believe I can hear better without it.

THE PRESIDENT: In these various excerpts there are references to documents and their contents. The excerpts, we take it, are not offered as proof of the contents of any document.

In this excerpt there is a reference to a letter from Captain TAKAGI, and part of the contents is stated. That is not proof of the contents of the letter.

MR. COMYNS CARR: No, your Honor, not to prove the contents of the letter as such; but in our submission, in view of the Tribunal's ruling as to hear-say, it is neither better nor werse than any other hearsay because it mentions that it was included in a document instead of orally, and as the source is disclosed, it is admissible for whatever probative value the Tribunal may think it has.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whoraupon, at 1050, a recess was

..

taken until 1105, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

AM. FURNESS: With regard to Mr. Comyns Carr's argument, surely this document is not merely being offered to prove that Baron HAFADA received a letter from Captain TAKAGI. I wish to submit that we made exactly the same argument with regard to the introduction of secondary evidence of documents many times and the Court was consistent in ruling that such documents could not be proved by such evidence as this contains.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

out, as I did when this point was raised before, that those were attempts to prove by secondary evidence given now -- the recollection of a witness given now of the contents of a document, the contents of the document being the important matter. Here it is merely desired to show that this Captain TAKAGI stated some facts at the time and it is immaterial whether he is shown to have stated them in writing or orally.

MA. FURNESS: If the Court please.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness, we have heard enough on this.

9 10

MR. FURNESS: I must make an objection, your .

THE PRESIDENT: There is no occasion to do so.

MR. FURNESS: The same objection was made to Grew's diary and Grew's dispatches, and we were not allowed to introduce them.

THT PRESIDENT: By a majority the document, except the last two paragraphs, is admitted on the usual terms and the objection to that extent is overruled.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
3150-283 will receive exhibit No. 3793 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom, being prosecution
document 3150-283-A, will receive exhibit No. 3793-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3793 for identification; the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit No. 3793-A and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: That is, the reference to KIDO and the subsequent parts are not admitted.

ATT. COMYNS CARR: The reference to KIDO is not admitted, your Honor? That will be excluding three paragraphs.

THE PRESIDENT: I might have to revise that.

The last two paragraphs mean the part objected

to by Major Furness.

1

2

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. COMYNS CARR: (Reading)

"Then when I med MATSUDAIRA, he said: "The fact is that His Imperial Highness, the Chief of the General Staff, and the War Minister proceeded in company to the Imperial Palace this morning and expressed their desire 'to submit some matter to the Throne.' His Majesty, thinking that the War Minister was coming to make an appeal also concerning that question of the use of real force on the Soviet-Manchukuo border and thinking that it would rather cast a reflection upon H.I.H. Prince, Chief of the General Staff, and the War Minister if the sanction was deried to them when they had appealed directly to him, made the Chief Aide-de-Camp to His Majesty convey his intention in advance out of his very prudent and sympathetic consideration, as follows: 'Should it be the case that they are coming, by any chance, to get my sanction to use force of arms, I haven't the slightest intention of approving it. If they are coming for that, they needn't come.' The message to the above effect was conveyed through the Chief Aide-de-Camp to H.I.H. Prince, Chief of the General Staff, and the War Minister. But they said: like to be received in audience by His Majesty by all means.' So His Majesty, at eleven o'clock, said:

that be the case, tell them to come, and waited for them. However, the War Minister and H.I.H. Prince, Chief of the General Staff, kept His Majesty waiting for more than an hour after they had arrived at the Palace. In the meanwhile, the Chief Aide-de-Camp went to and from his Majesty and the War Minister and the Chief of the General Staff, and finally, close to noon, an audience was to be realized.

War Minister submitted the question of the use of force and begged his sanction. His Majesty put the question; "How about contact with the Ministers concerned?" And the War Minister replied: "Both the Foreign Minister and the Navy Minister have agreed." Although both Foreign Minister UGAKI and the Navy Minister had previously expressed their opinion in favor of troop dispositions, they were absolutely against the use of force. Being answered to his question to the effect that "Both Ministers have agreed," by the War Minister, when he had already known the particulars, His Majesty seemed to have felt that he was going to be deceived again and, with somewhat excited countenance, admonished:

of the Army are abominable. There are frequent instances when a sneaky method was used, quite improper for my army

3 4 5

1 2

disobeying the orders from the central authorities and deciding on the judgment of the authorities on the spot, such as: The case of Liutiaokou in the Marchurian Incident and the actions at the Marco Polo Bridge at the beginning of this Incident. I think it is really abominable in various ways. Nothing like that must happen this time. . ."

"Hereafter, you must not move one soldier without my command!" He spoke so emphatically to the War Minister that the War Minister retreated, filled with trepidation.

"I can never look into His Majesty's face again. I should by all means like to resign." * * * *

"When I met KIDO later that day he said, 'Why didn't the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal act in concert with the Premier and do something? It is disturbing to have the Imperor taking such pointed action."

MR. LOGAN: May I now read five lines on the next page, if the Tribunal please, which explain KIDO's action there?

THE PRESIDENT: Well, this is unusual, Mr. Logan and much as we might like you to do it, it would be contrary to our practice. But Mr. Carr may decide to read it.

MR. COMYNS CARR: If he will show me the lines he wants, I shall read it.

On page 2193 in the SCAP translation appear these words as my friend wants:

"When I met KIDO he said: 'I intend to speak
with KONOYE leisurely tomorrow at Karuizawa. It the
Army says that we will have to fight with Russia, then
I will recommend KONOYE to resign resolutely.' The
remier was also of that determination."

I now offer in evidence IPS document No. 3150-285A, an excerpt from HARADA's Memoirs dealing with the letters received by him on August 5, 1938 from Captain TAKAGI, Navy Representative attached to the Kwantung Army Command.

This excerpt shows the position of the European-Asiatic Bureau of the Japanese Foreign Ministry in connection with the Khassan Lake events. The defense introduced a special report of the European-Asiatic Bureau of the Japanese Foreign Ministry on the Khassan Lake events, exhibit No. 2647-A, record page 22,922, and the affidavit of the witness MIURA, Kazuichi, who had been dispatched by this Bureau to the Korean border and who, under the influence of Army cicles submitted biased and distorted information concerning the commencement of hostilities, exhibit No.

O

2642, record page 22,894.

This excerpt from the Memoirs contradicts this evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: I wish to object to this document. I shouldn't perhaps consider it worthwhile to do so if it were only that this document is a conjuries of opinions, conclusions, as is shown by the language "I keenly feel" so and so; "I believe, I believe"; "it is truly regrettable."

I think the interesting thing, however, is that this is not even Baron HARADA, or whoever may be the author of his memoirs, who feels these things. For if we turn to the complete translation of this chapter, we find that the entire matter contained in this document is a part of a letter from this informant, Captain TAKAGI, whoever he may be.

This document, therefore, not only, as Mr. Comyns Carr said, deals with the letter of TAKAGI's but is in fact a part of one of those letters and I submit should be rejected on the same ground as in the case of the letter from TAKAGI in the preceding document.

Mk. FURNESS: If your Honor please --THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

DR. FURNESS: I wish also to object to the comments of the prosecutor on the testimony, which seems to me entirely uncalled for, of the witness MIURA.

THE PRESIDENT: That is quite irregular, Major Furness.

IFS document 3150-280B. Similar in all respects to the document admitted a short time ago as exhibit 3791-A, this document tells of support by OKAWA, Shumei.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Caudle.

value. Mr. UGAKI denied it. It was only rumor that he said came to him, and no petition whatsoever. And furthermore I would like to call the attention of the Tribunal to the fact that he was never appointed Vice-Minister of Foreign Affairs.

THE FRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
3150-280 will receive exhibit No. 3794 for identification
only. The excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 3150-280B, will receive exhibit No. 3794-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3794 for identification; the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit No. 3794-A and received in evidence.)

Mk. COMYNS CARK: (Reading)

"2 July 1938

"That evening, I (HARADA) want over to the Navy Minister's and met with the Navy Minister and Vice Minister and the three of us had a leisurely conversation for approximately 2 hours. It was brought out that OKAWA, Shumei recently brought a petition from the young officials of the Foreign Ministry to UGAKI, the Foreign Minister, which stated: 'Appoint SHIRATORI the Vice Minister.'"

I now offer in evidence IPS document No. 3150-292A, an entry of 22 September, 1938, regarding KIDO's views about UGAKI and more particularly about the Emperor. The latter part was put to KIDO at page 31,531-2 and with regard to his feelings towards UGAKI he was questioned at pages 31,543-4.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I object to the introduction of this document. It has no importance in the case as to how KIDO felt towards

.=

10

4

7

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

UGAKI. UGAKI was on the stand and he was asked questions about KIDO and I guess the Tribunal remembers how he viewed his past actions.

The translation of this entire document is not accurate. We ask that it be submitted to the Language Section.

When KIDO was asked on page 31,538 of the record with respect to the first paragraph he stated he had no recollection whatever of having had such a conversation. On page 31,531 of the record he said he had no recollection of the second part of the excerpt.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

•

Knapp & Kapleau

MR. COMYNS CARR: I now offer in evidence IPS document No. 3150-293A relating to 29 September 1938 and the resignation of UGAKI and KIDO's views, thereon, which was put to him at pages 31,538-9.

MR. LOGAN: I object to the introduction of this document, if the Tribunal pleases. At page 31,538 the accused KIDO said he had no recollection of this, and the eract words, as in this document, were not put to him. It was summarized.

Apparently this document is offered to show why UCAKI resigned. He took the stand. If it was of any importance the prosecution should have asked him at that time. It would appear that this is a quaint and unique way of trying to prove a fact by a dead men when a live man was on the stand.

THE PRESIDENT: What is the importance of this, Mr. Carr?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Fonor, I was going to ask that I should have been heard in reply on the last one, but the Tribunal gave its decision before I had an opportunity.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you may be able to recover the position on this one. Perhaps. I don't know.

MR. COMYNS CARR: No, your Fonor, unfortunate-

ly, because the last one contained two paragraphs.

THE PASSIDENT: Make the best you can of this.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Ponor, as far as this one is concerned, which is also the same subject as the first paragraph of the last one, the point is not to show why UGAKI resigned at all. The point is to show that KIDO, who professed in his affidavit to have a high opinion and great friendship for UGAKI, in fact held very different opinions about him.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

heard and ask the Tribunal to reconsider its ruling with regard to the second paragraph of the previous document, which relates to a totally different subject matter and is, in our submission, of great importance?

THE PRESIDENT: "ell, you know what that means.

If we reopen a decision like that for you, we would have to reopen it for every counsel for the defense if he likes to allege it is a very important matter for him.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, your Ponor, but I wasn't heard on it, and I am afraid that the Tribunal may have gathered the mistaken impression that the

two paragraphs of that document related to the same thing. They do not. They relate to two quite different things. THE PRESIDENT: You weren't heard in reply. but you told us why you were tendering it. MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, your Ponor, but I make it a practice not to go into detail in the introductory statement, to save time, but to reserve detailed reasons for reply. THE PRESIDENT: "Te won't alter our decision. 10 MR. COMYNS CARR: I tender in evidence IFF 12do cument 3150-313A in contradiction of the testimony 13 of OSHIMA, page 34,126. lines 14 to 25, that he was 14 not warned by the Deputy Chief of the Army General 15 Staff in the early pert of 1939 that the supreme 16 authority of diplomacy was vested in the Emperor. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. SHIMANOUCHI. 17 IR. SHIMANOUCHI: On behalf of OSHIMA I object 18 19 to the tendering of this document. In our submission, this document has no importance. It does not concretely state what OSHIMA did. To a portion which follows this IPS document there is a statement with 22 respect to what the Vice Chief of the General Staff of the army had told the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal 25 to the effect that OSHIMA had had no intention or idea of violating the diplomatic prerogatives of the Throne.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

a direct contradiction between OSFIMA's testimony and this record, and, in our submission, is a material matter, it being a part of the case against OSHIMA that he acted independently and exceeded his authority.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-313 will receive exhibit No. 3795 for identification only, and the excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 3150-313A, will receive exhibit No. 3795A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit 3795 for identification; the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit 3795-A.)

MR. COMYNS CARR (reading): "'... The Lord
Keeper of the Frivy Seal then said that the Deputy
Chief of Army General Staff came to him a few days ago
and said: "I strictly warned Ambassador OSHIMA

2 3

vested in the Emperor. I also cautioned him that it is not desirable to forget one's position and always do as Cermany says by saying that he is of the same opinion. Japan has the deciding authority. The Army has thus no intention of infringing upon the diplomatic prerogative of the Emperor. Also, the Army does not pay any attention to the present-day Rightists because they are a most outrageous lot. The civil police and Kempei have been instructed to take action without hesitation.""

I offer in evidence IFS document 3150-313B to contradict the statement by ITAGAKI, page 30,491, lines 7 to 12, denying that the Emperor urged the Army to advocate the application of the Anti-Comintern program to the Soviet Union alone, and also denying that the Army disagreed with the Emperor's views.

THE PRESIDENT: No objection. Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-313B will receive exhibit No. 3795-B.

(Thereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit 3795-B and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR (reading): "' ... The Army's

desire reached the Emperor's ears. Pefore the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal or anyone else knew, the Emperor personally decided to call the Chief Aide-de-Camp and said: "Go to the General Staff Headquarters and say that if it is so embarrassing if KONOYE resigns, as a condition for keeping KONOYE in office, decide that question of strengthening the Anti-Comintern pact as decided at the first Five Finisters' Conference. Moreover, how about eliminating those points advocated by the Army, that is, those points which are in discord with the general decisions made at the Five Ministers Conference? Also, how about deciding to have this anti-Comintern pact directed strictly against the Soviet as it had been decided at the Five Ministers' Conference?" The Emperor had, as his idea, the foregoing transmitted to the Army, who, however, did not show any signs of submitting to the Imperial will and "Te are not in favor of that.""

Toffer in evidence IPS document 3150-314A to contradict the statement of OSHIMA, page 34,125, line 5, to page 34,126, line 2, that while ambassador he had not sent a telegram to the General Staff Peadquarters concerning the strengthening of the anti-Comintern Pact, the contents of which had not been made known to the Foreign Finister.

3

5

1

9

10

12

13

14

15

16 17

У.,

18 19

20

21

22

23

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. SHIMANOUCHI.

this document on behalf of the accused OSHIMA on the ground that this document is repetitive and is not important. By repetitive I mean that it is repetitive of the document which repeated the document which was submitted twice before; that is, it repeats IPS document 3150-313; and by saying that this document is not important I mean that there is nothing concrete as to what was said or discussed between OSHIMA and the General Staff Office.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, this document does not repeat the previous one. The previous one was a warning that OSHIMA should not act in a certain way; this is one which purports to show that he did so in spite of the warning.

secondly, the document does not purport to give the contents of the telegram. The point of it is it says a telegram was sent by OSHIMA to General Staff headquarters contrary to his duty of negotiating with the Foreign Ministry, and no information about it was given to the Foreign Ministry.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-314 will receive exhibit No. 3796 for identification only; excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 3150-314A, will receive exhibit No. 3796-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3796 for identification; the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit No. 3796-A and received in evidence.)

MR, COMYNS CARR: This is Foreign Minister ARITA speaking in early February 1939:

"t ... As the Foreign Minister, I am at present

reluctantly assuming an extremely strong attitude, which is indeed awkward. However, this must be done in order to see the situation through. If we fail in this, I think that our foreign policy will be a total failure. The Laer day I said to the Army people: "The Foreign Ministry does not know absolutely anything about the telegram between Ambassador OSHIMA and the General Staff Headquarters concerning the strengthening of the Anti-Comintern pact. No matter how much, and how many years the Army may have studied diplomacy, what right do they have to do such a thing without consulting the Fereign Ministry at all?" I asked for their reconsideration and the Army said they were very sorry. At any rate, such a situation is distressing but I would like you to remain for a while with a little more patience."

I tender in evidence IPS document 3150-317A to contradict the testimony of OSHIMA, page 34,129, lines 14 to 17, in which he denied receiving instructions from the Foreign Ministry between the arrival of the ITO Commission in Berlin and the latter part of March 1939 to do as recommended by the Japanese Government.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I would like to object to the introduction of this document, the first part especially, for the reason that it says that HARADA learned on a

train ride from some unknown captain. It certainly has no probative value and is not important.

In the second place the document is unclear, it is incomplete, it is valueless, and is of no probative value because it does not complete an idea. It does not say what decision was arrived at, but it does say that the Emperor approved the decision, and that would seem to settle it anyway.

I might suggest, your Honors, that we are wasting a lot of time on these abortive negotiations which resulted in 73 cabinet meetings for negotiating which resulted in 73 cabinet meetings for negotiating for the strengthening of the Anti-Comintern Pact, and the negotiations failed.

MR. COMYNY CARR: Your Honor, I agree that the first paragraph is of no particular value, but I ask the Tribunal to admit the second.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the first paragraph is not accepted. The second paragraph is accepted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-317 will receive exhibit No. 3797 for identification only; excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 3150-317A, will receive exhibit No. 3797-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit

7 8

No. 3797 for identification; the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit No. 3797-A and received in evidence.)

1

2

13

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

.15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

MR. COMYNE CARR (reading): "When I met the Foreign Minister shortly after lunch, he said: "There is no problem any more from the standpoint of routine. In short, it is a pending question which, after careful discussion, has already been reported to the Emperor and His Majestv, stating that it is an inevitable consequence of circumstances, has sanctioned it. fore, no matter what they (Ambassadors to Rome and Berlin) might say, the (Japanese) Government cannot cancel its decision. All we have to do is to change the Ambassadors if they are going to rush to conclusions and say that the decisions (of the Japanese Government) will not do. As for the transfer of Ambassadors, I would like to carry it out after the close of the present session of the Diet. In all cases, we wired back instructions to both (Ambassadors) to do as recommended by the Japanese Government." He further remarked with firm determination: - "In all likelihood, the Premier is presumably of the same opinion." !" I tender in evidence IPS documents 3150-320A

and 3150-121B for the following purposes:

(a) To contradict the testimony of OSHIMA,

page 34,135, line 23, in which he denied making the statement to Ribbentrop that Japan would probably participate in the war; and

(b) To contradict the testimony of SHIRATORI, page 35,040, line 9, where he testified that:

"I never for a moment imagined that such a pact would by any means drag Japan against her will into a war of Germany's or Italy's making," and again at line 22, where he testified:

"As to accusations that I acted contrary to instructions from my government, I can state with truth that there was not a single instance of any such delinquency on my part."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. SHIMANOUCHI.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: On behalf of OSHIMA I object to the tender of both of these documents. With regard to the first document, it is repetitive in so far as OFHIMA is concerned. OFHIMA treats of this matter in his affidavit, which is to be found at record page 34,006. Furthermore, in the portion not contained in this IPs document 3150-320 there is the following important item --

THE PRESIDENT: You cannot give it. Mr. Carr may consent to read it, but you cannot do so.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: With regard to the second

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 13

19

20 21

22

23

24

document just tendered by the prosecution, OSHIMA speaks of the matter in his affidavit at the same transcript page as I have given before, namely, 34,006.

THE PREFIDENT: By "second document" you mean second paragraph, I take it? Well, it is useless to talk to us about a document of which we haven't a copy. It has not been distributed, Mr. Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: The second one has not been, no. Then I will deal with the first one alone. The one your Honors have is 320-A, is that right?

THE PRESIDENT: That is right.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I didn't get the ruling on it.

MR. COMYNS CARR: There-hasn't been one yet.

The importance of this document is that it states that SHIRATORI, in the first paragraph, being asked whether Japan was going to participate in the war, replied that Japan would, and in the second paragraph OSHIMA, being asked the same question by Ribbentrop, replied that Japan will probably participate.

THE PRESIDENT: Who is supposed to have made this statement to HARADA?

MR, SHIMANOUCHI: If the Tribunal please --THE PRESIDENT: Let Mr. Carranswer the question.

please.

X

6

1

3

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. COMYNS CARR: MATSUDAIRA, Chief Secretary to the Lord Keeper of the Privy Feal, on the 7th of April. We should have included the paragraph which shows that, your Honor. 4 THE PRESIDENT: Do you amend it and re-offer 5 6 it as amended? MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor means including 7 8 that statement? THE PRESIDENT: MATSUDAIRA. 9 MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE PREFIDENT: It is suggested to me that 11 MATSUDAIRA would not know because he was in Tokyo and 12 13 the Ambassador was in Rome. MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, of course nobody 14 15 in Tokyo would know at firsthand, but the information 16 clearly can have come only from SHIRATORI and OSHIMA 17 themselves. 18 THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is 19 sustained and the document rejected. 20 We will adjourn until half-past one. 21 (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was 22 23 taken.)

25

Greenberg & Yelden

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: With the Tribunal's permission the accused KAYA wi.1 be absent from the courtroom the whole of the afternoon session conferring with his counsel.

Captain Kraft.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Captain Kraft): If the Tribunal please, the following language corrections are submitted: Exhibit No. 3778-A, second paragraph, line 3, delete "delicately," and substitute "as lightly as possible"; lines 5 and 6, delete: "I would like to wrap this /proposal of the Navy/ in a pretty cloth and try to avoid any trouble" and substitute "Somehow, I would like to wrap it in a pretty furoshiki (cloth bundle), put it away and try to avoid having too much trouble with it."

THE PRESIDENT: Substantially different.

Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal,
I now offer in evidence IPS document No. 3150-321B,
which is to the same effect in substance as the document

320-A rejected this morning; but that was rejected, as I understood it, on the ground that the source of the information didn't appear sufficiently clear. Here it is the direct statement of the Foreign Minister within whose jurisdiction the two ambassadors served.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the introduction of this document because it has no materiality; it is too incomplete to be of any value; the subject is covered comprehensively in the accused OSHIMA's affidavit; this document throws no light whatever on any of the controversies here.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
3150-321 will receive exhibit No. 3798 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom being prosecution document No. 3150-321B will receive exhibit No. 3798-A.

(Whereupon, prosecution document
No. 3150-321 was marked prosecution exhibit
No. 3798 for identification; the excerpt
therefrom, prosecution document No. 3150-321B,
was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3798-A and

received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: (Feading) "The Foreign Minister said: 'The other day, on the afternoon of the 8th, to be exact, I went to the Palace with the decision of the Five Ministers' Conference, was granted an audience with the Emperor, and made a confidential report on the decision to the Emperor. Furthermore, I reported: "Ambassadors OSHIMA and SHIRATORI made a clarification, which represented their own opinion only, to Germany and Italy of the Empire's intention to fight in the event that these should wage war with England and France, but they should be made to take this back considering that they acted without regard to the wishes of the central authorities and that their words and actions overstepped /their authority/. However, * * .""

I offer in evidence IPS document 3150-321A to refute the testimony of ITAGAKI, page 30,491, line 13, to page 30,493, line 6, and from page 30,493, line 21, to page 30,494, line 8, in which he denied having a conference with the Emperor on the 11th day of April 1939 in which the Emperor declared that the action of OSHIMA and SHIRATORI in expressing intentions of participating in war constituted an infringement upon the supreme authority of the Emperor, and in

which the Emperor expressed his extreme displeasure with the War Minister's attitude of supporting them under such circumstances.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the introduction of this document which has already been thoroughly explained by examination and cross-examination at page 34,006. This carries the explanation given in the accused OSHIMA's affidavit. Now, we don't understand that this document bears out the prosecution's theory of this case at all but contradicts it, and for the further reason that the document is not intelligible at several places. It is hard to tell just what it means.

MR. YAMADA: On behalf of the accused ITAGAKI, I object to the presentation of this document. This question had been elicited in the cross-examination of the accused ITAGAKI already. The contents of this document is hearsay -- a sort of a hearsay of hearsay -- and has no materiality. For the above-stated reason I object to the tender of this document.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, no doubt the matters have been derlt with in the examination and cross-examination of OSHIMA and ITAGAKI, but the

document contradicts their evidence and that is why we tender it.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objections are overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-321A will receive exhibit No. 3798-B.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit i.o. 3798-B and received in evidence.)

IR. COMYNS CARR: (Reading)

"The evening paper of the 11th mentioned that the War Minister had gone to the Palace from about 2:00 to 3:30 p.m. I was worried about this, so early on the morning of the 12th, I went to the private residence of MATSUDAIRA, the Chief Secretary to the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal and asked, 'What was the reason behind the 'ar minister's visit to the Palace yesterday?' He answered, 'In regards to the visit to the Palace, unless I speak from the beginning, it cannot be understood. Day before yesterday, that is, on the 10th, when the Foreign minister visited the Palace after the Five Ministers' Conference held a few days ago, the Emperor made an inquiry about the progress of the meeting to the Foreign Minister. Prior to this, the Emperor had learned of the opposition made to governmental instructions by both Ambassader SHIRATORI and OSHIMA. Consequently, the 119 Emperor thought that for Ambassadors SHIRATORI and . 20 OSHIMA to have expressed subsequently Japan's intention to participate in war although they had no 22 authority, was an act overstepping their authority 23 and extremely bad. The Emperor was also aware of the fact that the War minister was covering up, to a certain extent, such acts committed abroad at each

25

1

10

11

12

13

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

25

1

2

session of the Five Ministers' Conference. From the standpoint of the above situation, the Emperor asked the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal, "I am thinking of especially calling in the War minister and rebuking him. What is your opinion?" The Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal replied that even though various circumstances exist, since the opinions of the War, Foreign and Navy ministers as well as other cabinet members were in agreement as a result of conferences, and since the matter was already settled, he thought that for him /the Emperor/ to make various reproaches on the matter would be rather ill-advised since it would bring about an irritation; and furthermore, that since present circumstances were entirely different from that of the case of the Changkufeng, he thought that it would be better if he /the Emperor/ did not especially call in the War minister to rebuke him. It was because the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal felt that the matter, after all, was settled that he made the remark in the sense that it would be better to leave matters as they now stood. Thereupon, since the Emperor said, "If that is the case, I will do so," the idea of summoning the War minister was abandoned.

"'On that day, however, the War minister happened to go to the Palace on some other business

and was received in audience. Thereupon, the Emperor patiently informed the var Minister of matters with which he was not pleased in regards to this question but not in the sense of rebuking him. /The Emperor's/ words were to the effect that in the first place, it was an infringement upon his supreme authority for the two ambassadors abroad to express /Japan's/ intentions of participating in war, a matter with which they were not concerned; that he was extremely displeased with the /War minister's/ taking the attitude of supporting them under such circumstances; and that he was also very dissatisfied with the /War minister's/ deviation /from the subject/ at each meeting of the Cabinet.

"The War minister departed in great fear. He went to the room of the Chief Aide-de-Camp and asked, "Who was it that told everything /to the Emperor/?" He was very angry. "

I now offer in evidence IPS document No. 3150-322A of the 20th April, 1939 regarding the views of KIDO and others about the proposed military alliance between Japan and Germany, and again, more particularly, KIDO's attitude toward the Emperor to which HARADA too: grave exception. That was put to KIDO at pages 31,528-31 and also at pages 31,578-9.

22 23 24

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The Tribunal will see that there are two separate matters relating to KIDO here. One is his views about the proposed military alliance, and the other is the remarks, contemptuous, in our submission, which he denied making about the Emperor.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

of the first paragraph, certainly the fact that KIDO was asked to intercede between the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal and the Premier with respect to some almost undisclosed matter could have no importance at this time.

The middle sentence of the first paragraph,
"I could not understand KIDO's attitude in regard to
this," should be followed with the sentence, I understand from translators, "I could hardly understand
KIDO's talk anyway."

THE PRESIDENT: Did the language section tell you that?

MR. LOGAN: No, I have not had time to take it up with the language section. It is our translator's version of it.

THE PRESIDENT: That is irregular, mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I am sorry. I did not realize

I was offending anybody by saying it.

7 8

The second half of that paragraph was put to KIDO on page 31,579 of the record, but the position of the Premier and others with respect to that pact had been amply covered in the evidence, and there is nothing new there.

With respect to the second paragraph, most of which I believe was put to KIDO, up to the fourth line from the bottom, starting with "On my part," the English translation as it appears in this document is different from what was put to KIDO on page 31,528 of the record in so far as the reference to the Emperor is concerned.

In addition to that, the first part of that second paragraph should be rejected on the same grounds as document 3150-292A was rejected this morning as having no importance and no bearing on the issues.

The second half of the second paragraph, beginning with the words "On my part," the fourth line from the bottom to the end of that paragraph, should be rejected on the grounds of mere opinion and conclusions of HARADA apparently.

The last two paragraphs, I have been unable to find whether they have ever been put to KIDO. The absurdity of the document, I think, is best illustrated by the sentence of HARADA's where he is thinking of beating some people to death; and I might say that the purpose of this document is to show that KIDO was in sympathy with any rightists. I refer the Tribunal to document 3150-323A which prosecution has on their order list.

THE PRESIDENT: That document is not in evidence yet. It has not been offered.

MR. LOGAN: It is not in evidence, but the purpose in referring to it is to show that apparently a few days later HARADA understood what KIDO was talking about.

THE PRESIDENT: But we do not know anything about a document not in evidence. You are talking over our heads. You are talking about something of which we are entirely ignorant.

if the Tribunal please, that the prosecution, in this document they are offering, are trying to set up something which they knock down two documents later. If this document is admitted, I submit that in its entirety it should be referred to the language section and redrafted.

MR. COMYNS CARR: If it please the Tribunal, in our submission this document is of very great

1 n

importance, particularly the reference at the beginning of the second paragraph to what KIDO said about
the Emperor. It is a part of our case against KIDO
that he was engaged, from about this time onwards and
right down to the end, in the process of bringing the
Emperor to the position of accepting what the Army
and the rightists wanted. And here he is expressing
the desire to do that very thing.

With regard to the last part, about HARADA's, as I said, deliberately extravagant remarks, that is only put in for the purpose of showing that HARADA cannot have misunderstood what KIDO has said because his indignation caused him to make those remarks.

THE PRESIDENT: We do not know whether it is extravagant or not; he might have meant every word of it.

By a majority, the objections are overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

Morse & Whalen

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, with respect to that second paragraph may I have that referred to the Language Section right away before it is read to this Tribunal?

THE PRESIDENT: Well, now we won't desert from the practice that we laid down yesterday. It is referred to the Language Section.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
3150-322 will receive exhibit No. 3799 for identification
only; the excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document
3150-322A will receive exhibit No. 3799-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3799 for identification, the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit No. 3799-A and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: (Reading) "20 April 1939

"On the morning of the 20th when I went to see KIDO and inquired about subsequent developments, KIDO said that he had met the Premier (that is HIRANUMA) on the previous day and that as a result of the conversation, /found that/ since the Premier appeared to be in a dilemma between the Army and the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal or the Emperor, and that since the Premier seemed to think that the Ar y's actions were

essentially right, he wanted to settle matters according to their whims by some meens; that to achieve this end, the Premier felt that he would like to have a little more understanding and cooperation of the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seel and consequently also felt that he world like to have the Emperor's understanding along this line; and that his principal object was that he wanted him (KIDO) to do something by interceding to attain this end. I could not understand KIDO's attitude in regards to this. According to KIDO, it is the opinion of the Premier and others that although the main text of the Anti-Comintern Fact had already received Imperial Sanction, the Army wants to omit the secret treaty; namely, the attached secret treaty which says that Japan interprets as the principal object of this Pact the activities of the Comintern, in other words, only Soviet Russia; that in regards to this, the Emperor will not give his permission; and that since the Army wishes to omit this /secret trefty/ and avoid being involved in the event that Germany and Italy fought against England, France, or other countries by applying only the text of this Pact, and since both the Army and Navy, especially the Army itself, say that they wish to avoid absolutely Japan's being drawn into the vortex /of war/, would it not be permissible to omit

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

the secret treaty.

1

3

6

10

11

13

14

17

20

21

23

24

"At one time or another, KIDO expressed that he, too was of the same opinion. In his talk to me, KIDO said that the Emperor is essentially a scientist, en extreme liberalist, and at the same time a racifist that unless we have the Emperor somewhat change his ideas, serious gap would develop between /the Emperor/ and the Army and rightists in the future; that, like in the later year of Emperor KOMEI's reign when close officials were completely changed by the Shogunates, we cannot tell what will happen; and that in the Army, we must make it appear as though we understood the Army a little more. On my part, I listened to this remark by KID? with an extreme feeling of surprise, and although I intended to contradict him, I remained silent because I thought that for me to argue with KIDO now would do no good. I felt it extremely regrettable since I thought that the concern of KIDO and the like was to lead the Army or the rightist to comply as much as possible with the will of the Emperor and that it was their original mission to fulfill their responsibilities in this sense.

"Therefore, I told KIDO, 'You and I are the ones who best know the reasons that brought about this present situation. If I were to explain what lies at

its root, it is the coerse, uncultured, and unpresentable group among the rightists. They are broadcasting whatever they please and by creating an atmosphere in which they gave power to whomever they pleased, and against which we could do nothing, they brought about the present disunity of the Army. Although I believe that a man in your position can eliminate this root, since it can no longer be helped, I am thinking of beating to death five or six of the group who might become obstacles in the future, although this is going to great extremes on my part. Although it may cause you some trouble at that time, that is not the problem. Thereupon, KIDO said, 'For you to talk of such extremes 14 is distressing!! However, it was because I was so dissatisfied with KIDO's attitude that I made such remarks. "Just before he left, KIDO made his language 17 somewhat vague and said, 'Since I shall also think of something, do not worry.'. . . ." 19 I now offer in evidence IPS document No. 20 3150-322B of 22 April 1939, again showing KIDO's attitude 21 end that of the HIRANUMA Cabinet of which he was a 23 member towards a military allience and the Emperor. This was put to KIDO at pages 31,567 to 9. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

*

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I object to this document as it states in the second sentence it is apparent that some gossip was conveyed to HARADA because it states "it was said" and goes on to record what was said. It doesn't state who told HARADA, where he got his information.

This was presented to KIDO on record page 31,567. He had no recollection of having had this conversation.

I might also say that the translator's insertion of the word "KIDO" is not, according to our translator's interpretation of this, accurate. In other words, there is a grave question whether KIDO according to the document itself is reported to have said this or whether he is reporting a conversation that he had as to what the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal said at that time.

Furthermore, the movernment's position with respect to OSHIMA is already in evidence in this case and this is merely repetitive.

I might say, if the Tribunal please, too that by the introduction of documents of this type where there is a strenuous objection on the ground of translation it is not fair to these accused. Where a document like this is read and the Tribunal gets an impression from

```
reading the document it is very difficult to correct
   that later on.
2
3
4
5
6
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
.18
19
20
21
22
 23
 24
 25
```

The PRESIDENT: I don't agree with you, Mr.

Logan. I don't think you should make those observations.

Mk. LOGAN: Well, if the Tribunal please --

THE PRESIDENT: We have as much experience as you have.

MR. LOGAN: Well, in my submission it should be corrected before it is presented to the Tribunal just for that very reason.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in my submission it is quite clear from the first words of the second sentence, "The conversation according to KIDO's story" that this is KIDO's account, own account of this conversation.

THE PRESIDENT: I am asked: Why these questions of translation were not taken up with the Language Section before, and, were they forbidden? Only today I saw a long list of questions raised by Major Blakeney in a letter to Captain Kraft. I had no objection to Major Blakeney taking that course, but I did object to Major Blakeney suggesting what the correct words were.

MM. LOGAN: Well, if the Tribunal please, that was the way we used to operate. We would submit what we thought was a correct translation and the Board, as I understand, is an arbitration board, they take

the prosecution's translation and they take ours and decide which is proper.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, my colleagues may differ from me. If they do I shall announce their decision. But I think it improper. Over twelve months ago I prevented this in this Tribunal openly. I prevented Colonel Warren from doing it and I have heard no dissent since.

MR. LOGAN: Well, what course are we to pursue, if the Tribunal please? It is difficult to just ask the Arbitration Board to take one sentence and review it.

THE PRESIDENT: That has been done almost invariably with good results. We require nothing better than good results.

MR. LOGAN: With all the difficulties of this language I believe the Arbitration Board is glad to get any assistance we can offer them, particularly with this document which is not even clear to the Japanese people. In other words, if the Japanese themselves do not understand the Japanese document and the translators have to guess at what is in it, and there's several different versions of it --

THE PRESIDENT: It is a difficult language which requires great care in the translation. That is

why we have this elaborate system in the Language Section.

Mr. LOGAN: The prosecution themselves have had two translations of this diary, one of which they submitted to the witnesses and another one which they are now submitting in these documents. They have admitted that. I believe the Arbitration Board would welcome our version of what we say the translation is so that they can compare both of them and arrive at a decision.

THE PRESIDENT: The language is so difficult that you might argue for weeks before them on the meaning of a word. We couldn't allow that.

MR. LOGAN: It doesn't work out that way, Mr. President. We submit our translation to them and that is all there is to it. Then they take that and compare it with the prosecution's. I never argue with them; never spoke to them about it, any translation.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, in my humble judgment the only thing to do is to question the translation of a word or a line and let them decide. If you are going to have arguments they would be of undue length.

MR. LOGAN: As I stated there is no question of argument at all. We make our translation and give it to them and they compare it with the prosecution's

3

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

and they arrive at a decision. We have nothing to do with it. They are an arbitration board.

THE PRESIDENT: It is sufficient for you to question the accuracy of any translation and for them to decide without hearing any submissions. At least that is my view. If you have any other scheme we will waste a lot of time. And only now, when some feeling has been aroused by the tender of these HAHADA-SAIONJI Diary entries has the contrary been suggested.

MR. LOGAN: It isn't a question of feeling.

As I stated before, that is the method we did proceed

under was to submit our translations to the Arbitration

Board; they took those and compared them with the prosecution's. I can't understand why the change should come

now. It isn't a question of feeling, it is just a

question of fairness. If the prosecution can submit

two different translations of the same highly disputed

Japanese I can't see why the defense can't submit one.

nct one but a thousand documents translated by them, 'cr by those employed by them. So have the prosecution.

MR. LOGAN: I am speaking about two translations of the same document.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal:

of this matter and what I understand to be the practice of the Board?

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I know the practice of the Board because I am in touch with them daily, and I was today on this very matter. You can state it for the benefit of my colleagues all of whom may not know of it.

MR. COMYNS CARR: As I understand it, the real difficulty which arises is when the defense asks that a whole document, or a large passage of the document, should be referred as a whole to the Language Arbitration Board. That is a matter which they simply have not the staff nor the time to deal with. As long as the points put to them are specific, that is, as long as the defense draws attention to the exact portion which they want altered they can cope with it. And if they do that it doesn't seem to us to matter very much whether they submit their own version as well as merely referring it or not.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, Mr. Cerr, you know from what you heard this morning that if they submit their own version they will rewrite the whole document.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Exectly. That is what I was coming to, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: That is what I want to prevent.

If you allow a little you must allow a lot. These men

4 5

will be put in this position, they will have to spend hours reading long screeds.

MR. COMYNS CARR: That is exactly, your Honor, what we have been striving to prevent in constantly pointing out that it is useless to refer to the Board a whole document or even a long passage of a document, with or without an alternative translation offered by the defense. Is long as it covers a large area the Board cannot cope with it.

THE PRESIDENT: If it is confined to a word or a sentence the Board does not need the prosecution's or the defense's viewpoint. If they do, let them ask for it, let the Board ask for it.

Duda & Spratt

MR. COMYNS CARR: If your Honor please,
my concern is to see that it is confined to specific
points and doesn't consist of what I may call wholesale reference. The other point I wanted to mention,
your Honor, was this: my friend said that we are
submitting different translations from those which
we used in cross-examination. Except with regard to
a few of the earlier witnesses, that is incorrect.
A particular error was pointed out to us by my friend,
Mr. Furness, and from that time onwards we had each
excerpt retranslated before we used it in crossexamination, and as far as I know it has not been
further revised in presenting the documents now.

ment, I do know this: that when KIDO was on the stand the words that were put to him in English, as contained in this document, are not the same as contained in document 3150-322A, which was just offered in evidence as shown. The words here are: "unless we have the Emperor somewhat change his ideas." That is not the same as put to him on page 31,528 of the record.

I would also like to state, if the Tribunal please, that the length of the document, in my submission, is immaterial because if our translation is correct, no matter how long it is, and the Arbitration

Board says it is correct, that is the document this
Tribunal should hear and listen to -- not the prosecution's interpretation of it.

THE PRESIDENT: It is not necessary to tell us that. We agree with you. We never held any other

. MR. LOGAN: Well, what procedure shall we follow now: submit our translations to the Arbitration Board?

THE PRESIDENT: You know what the precedure is. You only want me to repeat myself unnecessarily.

Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. WARREN: May I be heard, your Honor?

THE PRESIDENT: No, we have heard enough on this particular matter of the translations. Is that what you are coming to speak about or are you coming to make an objection?

MR. WARREN: I did neither. I came to ask a request of the Tribunal. You said that your colleagues had not ruled on this, and I wanted a ruling on it.

THE PRESIDENT: They have not been invited to rule on anything yet.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal pleases, perhaps you didn't understand me, but it is doubtful in our minds what procedure to follow, and that is what I

would like to know, if that is the ruling of the entire Tribunal, that we must not submit our translations to the Arbitration Board.

THE PRESIDENT: No such direction has ever been given. I have indicated a dozen times what has been done. If there is a determination not to understand me, I cannot help it. It is confined to only one or two counsel.

My colleagues on both sides support my attitude and they have sent me notes to that effect.

MR. COMYNS C/RR: Your Monor, may I now ask
for a ruling on the admissibility of document 322B,
which was under discussion before this matter arose?

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objection
is overruled and the document admitted on the usual
terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-322B will receive exhibit No. 3799-B.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3799-B and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: (Ronding) 22 April 1939.

of the 22nd, it was said that KIDO conferred with the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal at official residence. The conversation according to KIDO's story was that he /KIDJ/ said that Japan is resolved to push stubbornly ahead under the terms as they now stand; that it was still intended to make contact with Hitler through Ambassador OSHIMA instead of having the Premier do it directly; that if this method did not work, the cabinet would resign; and that it was desired, therefore, that consideration be given to the aftermath of a change in cabinets. In the final analysis, it meant that instead of trying to change the Emperor's mind or the attitude

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

of the Lora Keeper of the Privy Sec1, he /KIDO/ stated clearly in definite terms of 'A' or 'B.' According to the point of view, it means that through the Lord Keeper of the Privy Scal, the Emperor would be told that as long as Imperial sanction is not given, matters would be advanced as they now stand, but in the event they do not agree, the government may have to resign. Therefore, it can, after all, be taken also to mean that that remark that because Imperial sanction is not given, nothing can be done even if the government comes to the point where it resigns, is a mild, semithreatening, though it may be improper for me to use this expression, sort of a menacing remark made through the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal."

14 15

16

I offer in evidence IPS document 3150-323A for the following purposes:

17 18

> 19 20

21

22 23

24

25

(a) To contradict the testimony of ITAGAKI, page 30,497, line 21, and page 30,498, in which he denied that a request was received from Ambassadors OSHIEA and SHIRATORI for their recall and consequently it had been decided that the Foreign Minister should present a general statement directed to Hitler and Mussolini through the German and Italian ambassadors in Tokyo instead of using the Japanese ambassadors stationed in Berlin and Rome; and

(b) To contradict the testimony of OSHIMA, page 34,137, lines 10 to 22, in which he denied requesting the Japanese Government to recall him from Germany.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I join in the procedution's request to have the first paragraph admitted in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: And I object to the balance of the document for the reason that the balance of the doc. ment only generalizes and is not connected with any particular subject and throws no light on any of the controversies here.

why OSHIMA and SHIRATORI would be recalled or would be expected to come home, and it only speculates, and certainly can be of no assistance to the Tribunal in that question. The document neither contradicts nor supports any contention of the prosecution or defense, and certainly has no value.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, the first paragraph seems to be not objected to.

With regard to the second, it definitely contradicts OSHIMA's statement and ITAGAKI's; and the

third explains further the procedure decided to be adopted owing to the attitude of OSHIMA and SHIRATORI of negotiating through the Italian ministers and German ministers in Tokyo instead of through their own ambassadors.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objection is overruled and the accument admitted on the usual terms.

Read it after the recess, Mr. Carr. We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

18 19

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

. 21

22 23

24

Wolf & Greenberg

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Ear East is now resumed.

CLERK OF THE COURT: IPS document 3150-323 will receive exhibit No. 3800 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 3150-323A, will receive exhibit No. 3800A.

(Whereupon, prosecution document
No. 3950-323 was marked prosecution exhibit
No. 3800 for identification; and the excerpt
therefrom, prosecution document No. 3150323A, was marked prosecution exhibit No.
3800A and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: "When I called on KIDO on the morning of the 24th, he seemed to have greatly changed his attitude and said: 'There is no alternative but to recall both Ambassadors /T.N. OSHIMA and SHIRATORI/ at all cost. If this should happen to influence the peace and order within the nation, I intend to control matters suitably, and I expect to suppress the Rightists myself.'

"On the 25th, after the Five ministers' Conference, ARITA told me: 'An urgent request has come from both Ambassadors /T.N. SHIRATORI and OSHIMA/ for their recall. Therefore, a discussion was held to

devise necessary due counter-measures. It was decided that communication be made directly by the Premier with Hitler and Aussolini through the German and Italian Ambassadors in Tokyo, instead of using the Japanese Ambassadors stationed in Berlin and Rome.

"I went to Okitsu that night. On the morning of the 26th, I called up Vice-minister YAMAMOTO when he said: 'at any rate, it would be better, after . doing everything possible, to assume the attitude that we are contented with whatever the result may be. The Navy minister also said the same thing. Concerning (p.2507) this matter of doing everything possible, which has been touched on lightly before, Fereign minister ARITA said: 'Through the German and Italian Ambassadors in Tokyo, the Premier will approach Hitler and missolini in a general manner. The Foreign minister will present the particulars of this problem to the Foreign ministers of Germany and Italy. They will push this matter to the very end until its conclusion, but if there should be any difference to the demands of Japan, negotiations will be cut off. Even if things cannot become satisfactorily concluded, that is unavoidable. This is what was decided upon at the Five ministers' Conference. "

I offer in evidence IPS document 3150-324A

2

4

6

7

0

10

12 13

14

16

17

19

20 21

22

23

to contradict the testimony of ITAGAKI, page 30,501; line 6-14, in which he denied that a Gauss draft of the proposed treaty had been submitted to the German Foreign Office through attaches of the Japanese Army.

THE PRESIDENT: No objection? Mr. Cunning-

ham. MR. CUNNINGHAM: We object to that as merely a speculation, not based upon any foundation of fact or any documents of authority and, therefore, only the opinion and the conclusion of HARADA and not

MR. COMYNS CARA: Your Honor, the whole of it is a statement by ARITA, the Foreign Minister, actually.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I might say that the Gauss plan is in evidence here, I believe.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. mattice.

MR. MATTICE: I also object to it on behalf of the accused ITAGAKI. The document offered does not mention or refer to him, and a glance at it will show that what is quoted as having been said by ARITA is simply speculation. It reads, "It somehow seems that it was submitted by the Japanese Army."

THE PRESIDENT: Do you wish to say anything,

24

25

1

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

authentic.

mr. Carr?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, it is a direct statement of actual events by ARITA, the Foreign Minister.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objections are overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document No. 3150-324 will receive exhibit No. 3801 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 3150-324A, will receive exhibit No. 3801A.

(Whereupon, prosecution document
No. 3150-324 was marked prosecution exhibit
No. 3801 for identification; the excerpt
therefrom, prosecution document No. 3150324A, was marked prosecution exhibit No.
3801A and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: (Reading)

"Early on the morning of the 6th, I went to ARITA's residence in mejiro and he said: 'Yesterday, just after I handed the Premier's message to the German and Italian Ambassadors in Tokyo, I received an official wire from Councillir USAMI in Berlin, which said that he /USAMI/ was requested by Gauss, the Deputy Vice-minister of the German Foreign Office to meet him.

(

He /Gauss/ asked if Japan wouldn't agree to a proposal such as this, and added that as this had not been shown to Foreign Minister Ribbentrop yet, he /GAUSS/ did not know what the Foreign minister would have to say about it, but he /Gauss/ was showing this to him /USALI/, unofficially, as his private plan. Upon looking through the draft, though it was written ina very indirect fashion, emphasis was put on the German demands previously refused by Japan, which after all was a draft then including those demands. Then ARITA said, 'I have investigated the origin of this draft and it somehow seems that it was submitted by the Japanese Army to the German Foreign Office through the attaches. This is outrageous and I won't be responsible if this sert of the conspiracy goes on. However, he /ARITA/ did not show me /HARADA/ the actual contents of the draft."

18

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

MR. COMYNS CARR: I now offer in evidence IPS document No. 3150-324C. Prosecution offers this document, relating to 8 May 1939, in connection with KOISO's testimony, page 32,234-5, that while he was Overseas Minister in the HIRANUMA Cabinet he only knew vaguely that the problem of the Tripartite Pact was being discussed at a Five Ministers Conference, and that he never expressed his views on the subject on any other occasion except one, when in May 1939 at the request of the War Vice-Minister he was asked to help to restore friendly relations between the War and Navy Ministers who had offered their views concerning the contents of the conclusion of the alliance. THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

Mk. BROOKS: I wish to object on behalf of KOISO. At the most this is a mere observation on the Army's solution and has no probative value. This would be clear if the prosecution had included three more sentences, as the third sentence following this excerpt is important, for there KOISO inquires, "What is the opinion of the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal" on the Army solution mentioned herein.

I further object because it is repetitive as to KOISO's opposition to the Tripartite Pact as with Germany and Italy. For there is evidence which is

24

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
clear as to his refusal to ameliorate the strife
 between the Army and Navy over the Tripartite Pact
 pecause he was opposed to it.
          Therefore it is, in my submission,
 unimportant as showing what his stand was toward the
 Tripartite Pact, in that he was werely discussing and
7 trying to find out another party's viewpoint thereon
 of the Army's policy which he had been asked to
9 ameliorate.
         MR. COMYNS CARR: This document contradicts
10
11 the evidence to which my friend has just referred, by
12 showing that he was in favor of it. It is quite --
           THE PRESIDENT: You need not say any more.
14 captain Brooks wants to say something.
           MR. BROOKS: I don't want to interrupt if he
15
16 is not through; but I wanted him to point out to the
Court where that is shown. I couldn't find it. It
   may be a different translation. There is a translation
18
19
   error; I know that.
            THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection
 20
    s overpuled and the document admitted on the usual
 21
    erms.
 23
            CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosection document
 253150-324C will receive exhibit No. 3801-B.
                 (Whereupon, the document above referred
```

to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3801-B and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: (Reading)

"8 May 1939

see me so I met him on the night of the 8th at my relative's house. We talked from about 8:30 to about 11:00 and first of all KOISO said; 'The solution of the China Problem, which is so often mentioned by the Army; namely, the reaching of the conclusion of the wer as soon as possible; will be impossible without a tri-partite alliance. Because the officers and men at the front are displeased with British and French aid to Chiang Kai-shek, an alliance with Germany and Italy will alleviate their feelings somewhat. It would then be in order to settle the China Problem through the offices of England and France. Otherwise, it will be extremely difficult to pacify the officers and men at the front."

I offer in evidence IPS documents -
My friend asked me to read a little more
from the original volume; I will.

A few lines further on the diary records that

KOISO asked "What is the opinion of the Lord

Keeper of the Privy Seal?"

I offer in evidence IPS documents 3150-324B, 325A, and 326B for the following purposes:

(a) to contradict the testimony of ITAGAKI that Premier HIRANUMA at a Five Ministers' Conference on 9 may 1939 did not consider that a reply to his message to Hitler was unnecessary because the matter of reply had been solved when Ambassador OSHIMA replied "yes" to Foreign Minister Ribbentrop's inquiry as to whether Japan would be considered in a state of war in the event Germany should go to war against another nation. ITAGAKI's testimony with regard to OSHIMA's reply to Ribbentrop appears at page 30,501, line 20 to page 30,502, line 18; and page 30,504,

(b) to contradict the testimony of ITAGAKI, page 30,504, line 21 to page 30,505, line 5, that Foreign minister ARITA advocated at a Five ministers' Conference held on the 20th of may, 1939, the annulment of Ambassador OSHIMA's reply to Ribbentrop that it would be permissible to recognize Japan as being in a state of war in the event that Germany should go to war against another nation. ITAGAKI's testimony on this subject appears at page 30,501, line 20 to page 30,502, line 4; page 30,502, line 5-18; and page 30,504, line 1 to page 30,505, line 5.

the testimony of OSHIMA, page 34,138, line 5-22, denying that in making his reply to Ribbentrop's inquiry he went beyond stating that Japan 4 recognized "in principle" her obligation to participate in war. Your Honor, those are three documents. had better tender them separately, but I have given 8 the explanation of all of them together. I tender 324B first, please. Celonel Varren. THE PRESIDENT: 10 MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, the 11 only stated reason that these documents are offered -he has offered only one; but, if I may be permitted to argue the four of them, I should like to do so. 14 MR. COMYNS CARR: Three. 15 well, the fourth one is MR. WARREN: Three. 16 17 the same. THE PRESIDENT: It is impossible for us to 18 intelligently follow an argument based on documents 19 we have not seen. You had better tender the others, 20 21 Mr. Carr. MR. COMYNS CARR: If your Honor pleases, yes. 22 23 I tender also 325A and 326B. 24 MR. WARREN: Shall I proceed, sir? 25 THE PRESIDENT: Not yet, Colonel Warren,

because the third document has not yet been distributed.

MR. WARREN: Oh, I see.

THE PRESIDENT: You may proceed now, Colonel.

MR. WARREN: I contend, your Honor, that these documents are not important; and, in so far as assistance to this Tribunal is concerned, they have absolutely no probative value. They are highly repetitive in any event.

Starting with IPS document 3150-324B, the first sentence, "After this, I profess without any relation to the Premier's message ... " I assume, but I do not know that to be a fact, that the message to which this document refers was Baron HIRANUMA's message to Hitler, or it might have been Baron HIRANUmA's message to the Emperor that Japan would not participate in war. As I say, I do not know, but the prosecutor says he disagrees with me.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I said I agreed with my friend's first suggestion.

21

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 23

24

K P & K

n

a p

MR. WARREN: I thought he said the last. says he rees with my last statement.

MP. COMYNS CARR: First.

MR. WARREN: I mean first statement, but you can't tell from reading the document who HARADA meant 6 when you go back into his diary. It is of little moment 7 what either one of us thinks because you must draw your 8 conclusions from the evidence and not from what our 9 interpretation of it may be. It would take at least 10 15 more extracts from this diary here in order to clarify the situation, and having read it, I am not sure that even then it could be done. However, assuming for the sake of argument that the prosecuti, is correct and that it was the message to Hitler, that will be found 14 on page 6,103 of the record. It was introduced by Mr. 15 Hyde and was given exhibit No. 503. It supports the 16 position of Japan at that time, but this was not a 17 tripartite agreement in accordance with the one with 18 which the prosecution has been trying to connect it, I suppose in 1940, but was an anti-Comintern Fact 20 directed at one nation only, Russia. It sets out every single thing of importance in any one of these -- not 22 three but four documents. There is another one in this 23 list he didn't call to your mind. If these documents do anything, the only thing 25

19

21

they can do is to show that these people who are supposed to have been conspiring with each other were ac-3 tually fighting with each other. I submit that there is 4in each one of these documents sufficient evidence, if you take it as evidence, to take the contrary view to 6 that stated by the prosecution as well as to take the 7 view stated by him, and without many more extracts to a clarify what was meant, I don't think the Tribunal, from hese decuments, could ever reach any opinion that would 10 be safe to base a judgment upon. At the best, everything concerning the documents we have had before this for some time, up to the present time, has been but a tempest in a teapot because Germany never did agree ith them and signed a pact with Russia -- a non-aggression pact with Russia, and the whole thing was forgotten, 15 so how can it help this Tribunal? Every bit of evidence that this brings out, either pro or con, is already before the Tribunal, and the Tribunal knows it now much more clearly than it will if they try to adjust their minds to these, in some instances, mad scramblings that 22 we have here, and if the Tribunal accepts them we will be in this position: We will have to assume that some-24 where in here is samething the Tribunal wanted; we won't 25 know what it is; we will have to answer it all, with witnesses and with documents, and this thing will be five

times as long as it is now when the defense gets through 1 with it, if we are permitted to go into it.

For that reason we submit, your Honor, that they should be rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, in the first place I object to the prosecution offering three documents at the same time. That adds more to our confusion than ever.

THE PRESIDENT: We disagree with you. We invited that to understand what the argument would be about. Frequently we have had a document tendered ten times the length of these three documents but together, 14 and we have heard no protest from you, and there could 15 not be any. These are all related matters.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: My objection runs particularly 16 17 to the first one, and I only want to call the attention 18 of the Tribunal to the inconsistency of the documents 324-B and 323-C. In one the prosecution is contending that there is disagreement between the ambassadors and the government and in this document they are contend-21 ing that there is wholehearted agreement between them, 22 and we can't follow the line of reasoning at all. If 24 their purpose is to prove disagreement, then one document is imadmissible; if their purpose is to prove agreement,

2

3

8

9

10

then the other document is inadmissible. They certain-Ly can't both be admissible to prove inconsistent propositions. MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in our submission --3 THE PRESIDENT: We do not want to hear you, Ir. Carr. By a majority the objections are overruled and the documents admitted on the usual terms. 8 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document \$150-324B will receive exhibit No. 3801-C. Prosecution accument 3150-325 will receive exhibit No. 3802 for 12 Identification only; excerpt therefrom, namely 325-A, 13 will receive exhibit No. 3802-A. Prosecution document 14 \$150-326 will receive exhibit No. 3803 for identification 15 only; excerpt therefrom, 326-B, will receive exhibit No. 3803-A. 16 '(Whereupon, prosecution document 17 3150-324B was marked prosecution exhibit No. 18 3801-C and received in evidence; prosecution 19 document 3150-325 was marked prosecution exhibit 20 No. 3802 for identification, the excerpt there-21 22 from being marked prosecution exhibit No. 23 3802-A and received in evidence; prosecution 24 document 3150-326 was marked prosecution exhibit

No. 3803 for identification, the excerpt there-

from being marked prosecution exhibit No. 3803-A and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: I will read exhibit 3801-C:

"After this, without any relation to the
Premier's message, there was a wire from Ambassador
OSHIMA. It mentioned that 2 or 3 days ago that Foreign
Minister Ribbentrop called up Ambassador OSHII A at
Berlin from Munich on his way to meet Ciano at Como,
and questioned OSHIMA: 'When a contracting nation goes
to war against a third nation, and even if there is no
military aid from Japan (Germany and Italy do not expect military aid from Japan. It is impossible for
Japan to do so), would it be permissible to recognize
Japan as being in a state of war?' OSHIMA had replied
in the affirmative.

"Regarding this, ARITA said that it is indeed inexcusable for those abroad to propose, on their own authority, such a thing as 'participation in war' and to make such an arbitrary reply. A Five Ministers Conference is scheduled for tomorrow, but this matter will be of considerable difficulty, for Premier HIRANUMA is inclined to assume a supporting attitude rather than remain neutral. I believe that such matters have already been transmitted abroad by the Army, and if such is the case, I cannot assume the responsibility for our

4 5

foreign policy.

-17

"I returned home and called the Lord Keeper of
the Privy Seal and told him of it. On the 7th, I went
to Yokohama with MATSUDAIRA for dinner. In the midst of
our dinner, the Foreign Minister called me and said:
'I would like to see you as soon as possible.' Together
with MATSUDAIRA, I visited the Foreign Minister from
9:00 p.m. and asked the results of the Five Ministers'
Conference. As we had expected, the Premier supported
the opinion of the War Minister, and upheld OSHIMA's
answer to Ribbentrop, that in the event of war between
one of the contracting parties and a third nation, Japan
will be considered to have entered a state of war, although it will not give any military support."

J .: ow read exhibit 3802-A:

"Concerning the results of the Five Ministers!

Conference of the 9th, the Navy Vice-Minister said:

The conference was opened at 2:00 p.m. First of all,

the Navy Minister said: "The Gauss draft which we have
here did not come through legitimate channels. If we
are to follow the proper course, making this a problem
for the Five Ministers Conference, in the first place
we have not even received an official reply from Germany
to the message of the Fremier. Notwithstanding the fact
that to demand an answer reply is our first problem,

don't you think it very odd to attach ourselves to the Gauss draft without demanding this reply. However, no one said anything about it and again continued discussing the Gauss draft. The Premier, in his remark, gave me the impression that a reply to his message was unnecessary because the matter had already been solved when Ambassador OSHILA had replied 'yes' when Foreign Minister Ribbentrop had asked him whether it was all right to consider Japan a participant / in the event of. war/. In other words, it was agreeable for Japan to be considered as ready to participate in the event of war between England-France and Germany-Italy. . . "

I now read exhibit 3803-A:

1

6

7

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"'Immediately the War and Navy Ministers each saw the Premier individually and gave him the story, and a Five Ministers' Conference was hurriedly held at 9 a.m. on the 20th, and this problem was settled in substance roughly as above. However, with regard to the Foreign Minister's proposal to make Ambassador OSHIMA retract his affirmative answer to Foreign Minister Ribbentrop's question as to whether it was all right to conclude that /Japan/ would enter into a state of war, the Premier was evasive and would not make him retract 25 it. The Foreign Minister, however, cautioned the Premier that he ought to report privately to the Throne, because

```
though it could not be said that a complete agreement in
  opinion had been reached between the Army and Navy /the
  matter/ had actually been decided and it appeared that
  instructions would soon be issued. . . !
5
6
7
. 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
719
20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
```

"And though the Premier was asked over and over at the Five Ministers' Conference to rescind for OSHIMA's words, he simply assumed an attitude indicating that that was all right. And so the deletion of Article III of the above-mentioned secret understanding concerning the participant nations, etc. and the revision of the wording of the announcement to be made to the world have finally been carried out, but the statement about 'entering into a state of war,' etc. by Ambassador OSHIMA /in reply/ to Ribbentrop, the annulment of which was pressed for by Foreign Minister ARITA, has been left unrescinded, after all. And so this has had much to do with leaving uncorrected a source of trouble for the future."

I tender in evidence IPS document 3150-326A to contradict the testimony of ITAGAKI, page 30,506, line 7, to page 30,507, line 22, in which he denied that he and FIRANUMA continued their efforts after the Five Ministers' Conference of May 20 in behalf of the army plan for automatic entrance into a state of war.

This, I think, was the fourth document which my friend Colonel Warren was referring to. It might have been taken with the previous three.

MR. USAMI: I object to the tender of this document on behalf of the accused HIRANUMA.

One of the grounds for the objection is the same as that stated by Mr. Warren in connection with the previous documents. My additional reason is that this document is repetitive.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, it carries the same matter further in point of time. That is the real purpose of it.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-326A will receive prosecution exhibit No. 3803B.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit 3803-B and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR (reading): "Early on the morning of the 23rd I called Vice-Minister YAMAMOTO to the phone in order to learn what the latest developments were. According to what Vice-Minister YAMAMOTO said he understood that both ambassadors, i. e. SHIRATORI and OSHIMA, said that nothing could be done about that particular draft and they kicked it back. He went on to say that at the same time the Army claimed that there were some words missing /T.N. presumably in

the draft/ therefore he /YAMANOTO/ thought that /the whole business/ was scandalous.

Minister ARITA. He said: 'We discussed the matter at the Foreign Ministry last night. It fell back on their /T.N. Germany, Italy/ demands to enter the war. The Army's contention that words were missing meant a clear-cut expression of participation in war and I cannot agree with them. Yesterday I sent the Vice-Minister to the Premier, but he says that it should be done the way the Army wants. It appears as if he too wishes to have it read "participation in war."

Winister. He was very enraged and said: 'It is outregeous even to mention matters such as participation
in war or condition of war. In spite of the fact that
the Emperor often says, "I shall not permit it," to the
General Staff Headquarters, the War Minister, and the
Premier. concerning participation in war, it is very
abominable for the Premier to support the Army and the
Ambassadors who take action contrary to the will of the
Temperor.""

I offer in evidence IPS document 3150-332A to contradict the testimony of ITAGAKI that the Emperor did not inquire of him on or about the 7th of July 1939

as to the reason for his demand that the British at Tientsin hand over to the Provisional Government in Pek; 45 million yes, and to contradict ITAGAKI's further statement that the Emperor did not rebuke him in connection with this matter. ITAGAKI's testimony on this subject appears at page 30,479, line 5, to page 30,482, line 13.

THE PRESIDENT: There being no objection, the document is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-332 will receive exhibit No. 3804 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit 3804 for identification.)

The excerpt therefrom --

MR. YAMADA: I object to the tender of this document, your Honor, on behalf of the accused ITAGAKI.

THE PRESIDENT: "ell, I paused for a considerable length of time for an objection. None appeared to be forthcoming, and of course I admitted it in the usual way. However, make your objection.

MR. YAMADA: ITAGAKI explains clearly the Tientsin question which has just been brought up.

In the latter part of this document there is an attack

against ITAGAKI. The source of information contained in this document is very obscure, unclear. It is my submission that the prosecution cannot prove in the manner which Mr. Comyns Carr is attempting to prove -- My further submission is that Mr. Carr -- what Mr. Carr is attempting to prove cannot be done in the manner he is attempting to do --

THE MONITOR: What Mr. Carr is attempting to prove cannot be done through this document, in my submission.

That is all, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled. Proceed to read the document.

MR. COMYNS CARR (reading): In regard to the Tientsin problem, generally speaking, the Premier agrees with the views of the Minister of Foreign Affairs. Therefore, although they wish to make firm restrictions from the 1st of July, the Central Government has no control. Therefore, the units abroad do as they wish and this situation is very troublesome. The strong argument by the Consul in Tientsin and the compartively strong attitude taken by Minister KATO is somewhat regrettable. At any rate, that is the situation.

The points in the Tientsin problem which Japan is con-

,

sidering are: first, the placing of Japanese in the Industrial Bureau; second, the placing of Kempei within the concessions; third, the demand of handing over of 45,000,000 Yuan of legal tender to the provisional government as a friendly gesture; fourth, cooperation to preserve peace and order; and fifth, the handing over of the criminals. The stupidity of the Mar Minister seems to be the cause for all the problems becoming extremely disorderly.

"Furthermore, when the Emperor asked about
the Tientsin Incident, the War Minister said: "The
reason why the Army requested the surrender of the
45,000,000 Yuan in the British Concession was to maintain the currency exchange." The Emperor asked:
"Is that sufficient?" The War Minister said: "No,
it isn't!" The Emperor was shocked and said: "That
is very bad thinking on your part," and rebuked him.
The Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal said: "The Army is
confused and everything is lost." He considered it
a tragedy and even lamented to the extent that the
Army was going to destroy the nation.'"

THE PRESIDENT: The number of that document is exhibit 3804A.

(Whereupon, the document above re-

